## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

PUBLIC STORAGE, a Maryland REIT qualified to do business in California,

Plaintiff,

VS.

DOROTHY SOBAYO; NATHANIEL SOBAYO; KINGSWAY CAPITAL-NATHANIEL BASOLA SOBAYO,

Defendants.

Case No: C 12-5263 SBA

**ORDER** 

Docket 21

On January 15, 2013, the Court issued an order remanding this case to the San Mateo County Superior Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c) due to a lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Dkt. 17. The remand order was mailed to the San Mateo County Superior Court on the same day, and this case was closed. Dkt. 17, 18. On January 29, 2013, Defendants filed a motion "for order to set aside and stay proceedings to enforce fraudulently obtained order to remand notice of removal and counter complaint." Dkt. 21. In their motion, Defendants request the Court reconsider the remand order. Id.

When there is an absence of subject matter jurisdiction in a removed case, a district court must remand the case back to state court from which it was removed. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(c). "A remand order returns the case to the state courts and the federal court has no power to retrieve it." Seedman v. U.S. Dist. Court for Cent. Dist. of California, 837 F.2d 413, 414 (9th Cir. 1988). A district court has no power to correct or vacate a remand order

1	based on § 1447(c). <u>Id.</u> "Once a district court certifies a remand order to state court it is
2	divested of jurisdiction and can take no further action on the case." Id.
3	Accordingly,
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:
5	1. Defendants' motion is DENIED.
6	2. This Order terminates Docket 21.
7	3. This case remains closed.
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9 10	Dated: 2/12/13  SAUNDRA BROWN ARMS RONG United States District Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	